

The Ulam-Hammersley problem for heapable sequences.

Gabriel Istrate

West University of Timişoara and e-Austria Research Institute, Timişoara, Romania gabrielistrate@acm.org

Motivation: Heapability of integer sequences.

Byers, Heeringa, Mitzenmacher, Zervas (ANALCO'2011): Sequence of integers A is heapable if it can be inserted into binary heap-ordered tree (not necessarily complete) as leaves only.

- Polynomial time algorithm to decide heapability.
- Complete heapability NP-complete.
- If $\pi \in S_n$ is a random permutation, w.h.p. $LHS(\pi) = n o(n)$.

Slots

<u>THEOREM [4]</u>: For every fixed $k, d \ge 1$, $E_{P \in P_{d_n}}[LHS(P)] = n - o(n)$. Proof Idea: Straightforward adaptation of argument from [2].

Scaling of $E[MHS_2(\pi)]$: random permutations and random intervals. 6

<u>CONJECTURE</u>: We have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{E[MHS_2[\pi]]}{\ln(n)} = \phi$, with $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ the golden ratio.

Note: Basdevant et al. [1] believe constant is slightly smaller.

- A node comes with two slots of the same value
- Slots may be occupied by larger numbers.
- If $A = (e.g.) \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 6 \ 5 \ 4$ then a free slot is always available, and the sequence is heapable. • If $A = (e.g.) 5 1 \dots$ then there is no good slot for 1.

Decomposition into heapable subsequences via Patience Heaping. $MHS_k(A) =$ minimum number of k-ary heapable sequences one can decompose A into.

Algorithm 3.1: PATIENCE-HEAPING(*W*)

```
INPUT W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) a list of integers.
Start with empty heap forest T = \emptyset.
for i in range(n):
 if (there exists a slot where X_i can be inserted):
      insert X_i in the slot with the lowest value.
 else :
      start a new heap consisting of X_i only.
```

THEOREM [3]: "Patience heaping" computes $MHS_k(A)$.

Proof Idea: (a). Define domination relation between multisets of slots. (b). Greedy insertion dominates any other insertion+ induction. If GREEDY creates new heap then any other algorithm does.

Empirically $E[MHS_2(P)] \sim \frac{n}{3}$!





"Physics like argument" via a Multiset Hammersley Process.

Hammersley's process with $k \ge 1$ lives: particles arrive as random points in [0,1]. Each endowed with k lives. A new particle p takes one life from closest q < p.

• Live particles correspond to slots in Patience Heaping. • New heaps: # of heaps = # of (local) maxima.

• Words over alphabet 0, 1, 2.

• Start with $W_0 = \lambda$.

• Choose random position. Put there a 2. Remove 1 from the closest nonzero digit to the right (if any).

Extensions to Intervals/Partial orders of Finite Dimension.

Theorem [5]): PatienceHeaping still computes the decomposition of a sequence of intervals into a minimal number of heaps.

Proof Idea: Slot value of an interval: larger end.

- Longest increasing subequence of intervals: greedy.
- Justicz, Scheinerman, Winkler (AMM 1990): random intervals on [0,1]. $E[LIS(\pi)] \sim \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$.
- Width: the minimum # chains in a chain decomposition. Dilworth's Thm: w(P) = size of largest antichain.
- height: the length of the longest chain.
- Dimension: the minimum number of permutations P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k s.t. $P = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \ldots P_k$.
- Random p.orders of dimension k: $P_k(n)$ (Winkler, 1985). Random permutations: k = 2.
- Width of random $P \in P_k(n)$: $\Theta(n^{1/k})$, Winkler (1985), Brightwell (1992).
- Height of random $\pi \in P_k(n)$: Winkler(1985), Bollobás and Brightwell (1992).

THEOREM [4]: The following IP for $MHS_k(P)$ has a totally unimodular matrix:

$$\max(\sum_{p \prec q} X_{p,q})$$

$$\sum_{\substack{q:p \prec q}} X_{p,q} \leq k, \forall p \in P$$

$$\sum_{\substack{p:p \prec q}} X_{p,q} \leq 1, \forall q \in P$$

<u>INTUITION</u>: Process "converges to" a compound Poisson Process with densities $d_0 = d_2 \sim \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \sim$ $0.381\ldots, d_1 \sim \sqrt{5} - 2 \sim 0.236\ldots$

Scaling: # minima in this limit process.

Assuming existence of constants and well-mixing, "mean-field" flow equations:

$$d_2 = 1 - \frac{d_2}{d_1 + d_2}, d_1 = \frac{d_2 - d_1}{d_1 + d_2}, d_0 + d_1 + d_2 = 1.$$

yield promised values for parameters of compound Poisson process.

... and for intervals 8

New version of Hammesley process with k lifelines: new particle= random interval $I_n = [x_n, y_n]$. x_n kills lowest particle, but y_n is the new particle !

Limit of Hammersley process: far from Poisson jump process !



$X_{p,q} \in \{0,1\}$

Proof: if 1 instead of k on the right-hand side, IP for maximum matching in bipartite graph.

THEOREM [4]: For every fixed $k, d \ge 1$, $E_{P \in P_{d,n}}[MHS_k(P)] = \Omega(\log^{d-1}(n))$. Proof Idea: Sequence minima start new heaps. Expected # minima analyzed by Winkler (1988).

Longest Heapable Subsequence 5

Complexity: open [2]

Relevant Publications 9

- [1] Basdevant, Gerin, Gouere, Singh. arxiv/1605.02981
- [2] J. Byers, B. Heeringa, M. Mitzenmacher, M., & G. Zervas, G. Heapable sequences and subsequences. In Proceedings of the Meeting on Analytic Algorithmics and Combinatorics (ANALCO'2011), pp. 33-44. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [3] G.Istrate, C.Bonchiş. Partition into heapable sequences, heap tableaux and a multiset extension of Hammersleyâs process, Proceedings of the 26th Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM'2015), Lecture Notes in Computer Science vol. 9133, Springer Verlag, 2015.
- [4] G. Istrate, C. Bonchiş. Heapability, interactive particle systems, partial orders: results and open problems, Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Descriptive Complexity of Formal Systems (DCFS'2016), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 9777, Springer Verlag, 2016.
- [5] manuscript with C. Bonchiş, D. Diniş, J. Bálogh, I. Todinca. arXiv.org:abs/1706.01230v3, submitted for publication.

Building Bridges II, July 2018. Supported by IDEI Grant PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0842 ATCO, "Advanced techniques in optimization and computational complexity".